**Organizational Statements**

* [ICCR Sign On Letter](file:///%5C%5CICCRFS%5Csys%5CUSERS%5CMary%5CSEC%20Rule%2014a8%5Ciccr.us7.list-manage.com%5Ctrack%5Cclick%3Fu%3Dc77e1a70c4bc563a6cb1d9426%26id%3Da595db7b43%26e%3D1c4369dd4a) to the Senate ([sign on here](https://goo.gl/forms/qORu1nyKDIC2FbHB2))
* As You Sow has put together an action guide: <http://www.asyousow.org/about-us/choice-act-step-by-step-action-guide/>
* Indivisible has guides for attending town hall meetings - <https://www.indivisibleguide.com/>
* AFL-CIO letter opposing the Financial CHOICE Act <https://members.iccr.org/document/afl-cio-letter-opposing-financial-choice-act-0>
* Council of Institutional Investors [letter](http://www.cii.org/files/issues_and_advocacy/correspondence/2017/05-17-17%20FinChoice%20Letter%20FINAL.pdf) to Speaker Paul Ryan

**Press**

* San Francisco Gate - [Looking to propose a shareholder vote? It may get tougher](http://www.sfgate.com/business/article/Looking-to-propose-a-shareholder-vote-It-may-get-11200539.php), Marcy Gordon, Associated Press, Tuesday, June 6, 2017
* NPR - [GOP Plan May Signal End Of 'Socially Responsible Investing'](http://wshu.org/post/gop-plan-may-signal-end-socially-responsible-investing#stream/0) featuring Tri-CRI’s Mary Beth Gallagher
* Investment News[House committee approves Dodd-Frank replacement bill that includes repeal of DOL fiduciary rule](http://www.investmentnews.com/article/20170504/FREE/170509954/house-committee-approves-dodd-frank-replacement-bill-that-includes)
* Wall Street Journal - [Investor Group, Pension Funds Oppose Financial Choice Act](https://www.wsj.com/articles/investor-group-pension-funds-oppose-financial-choice-act-1495060509)

**Company Statements**

* “Chevron values engagements with proponents and the stockholder proposal process and shares feedback from engagements with the Board and its relevant committees.” <https://www.chevron.com/corporate-responsibility/our-approach/board-of-directors-governance-and-ethics>
* Exxon Board’s response to proposal to not permit resolutions from “nuisance shareholders”

The Board recommends you vote AGAINST this proposal for the following reasons:

The Board shares the proponent’s concern regarding certain shareholders perpetuating proposals that offer little or no benefit to corporate governance or to appropriately inform shareholders beyond existing disclosures. However, the recommended proposal could limit the rights of shareholders to submit meaningful proposals that may not be fully supported by the Board, but are of material importance for shareholders to consider.

While we are sympathetic to the proponent’s objective, the Board believes a better approach would be for shareholders concerned with the current proposal process to petition for appropriate regulatory changes in order to minimize the potential for abuse and to increase the likelihood that proposals better reflect the interests of long-term shareholders.

The Board also believes direct shareholder engagement is the most effective approach to address shareholder interests. The Company maintains a robust process which allows shareholders to dialog with management and the Board in an open and comprehensive manner. Shareholder input is always valued and, as appropriate, is incorporated into management and Board deliberations.

<https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/34088/000119312517122538/d182248ddef14a.htm#toc182248_19>